1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS		
2	EASTERN DIVISION		
3	IOUN DOE	,	
4	JOHN DOE,	Docket No. 14 CV 07423	
5	Plaintiff,		
6	VS.	Chicago, Illinois	
7	VILLAGE OF DEERFIELD, ILLINOIS, LISA BATCHELDER and GARY ZALENSNY,) June 5, 2015 R) 9:32 a.m.	
8	Defendants	S	
9	20.0.100	,	
10		OF PROCEEDINGS - Status DNORABLE ELAINE E. BUCKLO	
11	DEFORE THE TR	DNOIVABLE ELATRE E. BOCKEO	
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	For the Plaintiff:	MD IZENNIETI NI ELAVMANI	
14		MR. KENNETH N. FLAXMAN 200 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 201	
15		Chicago, Illinois 60604	
16		ANCEL, GLINK, DIAMOND, BUSH,	
17	Village of Deerfield	DICIANNI & KRAFTHEFER, PC BY: MS. LUCY B. BEDNAREK	
18		140 South Dearborn, Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60603	
19	For the Defendant:	LAW OFFICE OF ROBERTO HABIB	
20	Gary Zalensny	BY: MR. ROBERT A. HABIB 77 West Washington Street	
21		Chicago, Illinois 60602	
22	Court Reporter:	SANDRA M. TENNIS, CSR, RMR, FCRR	
23		Official Court Reporter 219 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 2260	
24		Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 554-8244	
25		sandra_tennis@ilnd.uscourts.gov	

1 THE CLERK: 14 CV 7423 Doe versus Deerfield. 2 MR. FLAXMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Kenneth 3 Flaxman. 4 THE COURT: Good morning. 5 MS. BEDNAREK: Good morning. Lucy Bednarek on 6 behalf of the village. 7 MR. HABIB: Good morning, your Honor. Robert 8 I represent Gary Zalensny. Habib. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 MR. FLAXMAN: Did you see the motion I filed last 11 night? 12 THE COURT: I did. See, my problem is that, once 13 you file -- as you know, once you file an appeal, I wasn't 14 even sure that I -- you know, somehow we had -- Jackie just 15 somehow didn't -- missed the order that I had written in 16 terms of the explanation, or only the one part got in and not 17 the rest. But I wasn't even sure if I could enter the other 18 later. But obviously, if I didn't, they're just going to 19 send it back and say, why did you do this; or maybe they're 20 not because maybe they're going to say they don't have 21 jurisdiction. 22 My concern about -- I mean, I guess I can grant 23 your motion to withdraw. I guess that's not a problem. 24 think I can do that anytime because that's not up on appeal. 25 I'm not sure -- I'm not sure it's -- well, I guess --

1 MR. FLAXMAN: Well --2 THE COURT: I think I'll skip -- I mean, what I wanted to do was just dismiss it because I think that's the 3 4 appropriate thing. And then if you wanted to go up and argue 5 about it, you could. 6 MR. FLAXMAN: But he might --THE COURT: Or somebody. 7 MR. FLAXMAN: Well, he might very well sav that 8 9 I -- here is my true identity and Flaxman is an idiot and didn't do these things with my authorization, or something. 10 11 I don't know what he is going to say. But he might very well 12 not have the same -- not express to you the same vigor about 13 being anonymous that I expressed to you ---14 THE COURT: Well, that's fine. 15 MR. FLAXMAN: -- in the papers. 16 THE COURT: If he wants to pursue his suit, then 17 change it to a real name and go ahead, to the extent that 18 there is a valid suit. 19 MR. FLAXMAN: He might very well want to do that, 20 but I --21 THE COURT: Well, I wasn't going to dismiss it without leave -- I mean, I had -- I gave you leave to amend 22 23 and put his name in. MR. FLAXMAN: Well, speaking academically, I think 24 it's a fascinating issue about whether this is an appealable 25

order as a collateral order. And it's fascinating whether, in the world of the internet and everything being available to everybody, the same confidentiality or lack of confidentiality or lack of pseudonym respect that the court -- Seventh Circuit expressed ten years ago is still applicable. But this is not the right case to raise that now, given some developments.

MR. HABIB: It might be a fascinating legal issue, but the fact is I've got a small client, Zalensny, who has been brought into this lawsuit. The Court has granted my motion to dismiss the complaint. Of course, it gave me leave to re-file.

So while Mr. Flaxman can look at this, this is a fascinating legal issue, what we should do here, at this point, since the Court already granted the motion to dismiss the complaint, counsel has not filed an amended complaint at all, counsel is now saying he is being --

THE COURT: True. I gave you time.

MR. HABIB: -- withdrawn based upon --

THE COURT: So I think at this point, deal with the Seventh Circuit. That's all I can say.

MR. HABIB: But, also, I would like at it this way: Counsel, in his motion to withdraw, has specifically stated at this point that his reason for withdrawal is that this John Doe character used his real name in another lawsuit.

Well, here is our situation. We do not have a complaint on file because it has been struck. We have the representation by counsel now that he has to withdraw due to what a, however you want to describe it, the actions of the John Doe character which, in effect, is an insult, to the way I look at it, to this Court.

So what I would ask the Court to do is this:

Dismiss this case right now. And if this John Doe character wants to appeal, or, better yet, if he wants to re-file a new lawsuit, let him do so. But from my point of view --

THE COURT: I think that's what I did.

MR. HABIB: -- Zalensny can't afford -- barely afford to pay me. We're stuck here. Counsel might talk about we have interesting legal issues here.

MS. BEDNAREK: Your Honor?

MR. HABIB: Maybe they are. But that's not the way I look at it.

MS. BEDNAREK: Your Honor, if I may? I think your last order was if plaintiff did not file an amended complaint, the dismissal would convert to with prejudice. And I don't think that your Honor has entered an order stating that it is now with prejudice. I think the minute entry order and the memorandum had two different types of language, whether it would automatically convert, whether your Honor would need to enter one.

1 THE COURT: Oh.

MS. BEDNAREK: So I think that, if that happens, then Seventh Circuit can deal with it.

THE COURT: Okay. My only concern, as I said at the beginning was, what orders are appropriate for a District court to enter after somebody has -- while something is pending upstairs, I don't even know -- well, I guess you appealed -- Oh, I don't see why it should stop -- they can always tell me if they don't like it.

MR. FLAXMAN: Well, I would -- I would ask your Honor to do what your Honor said she was going to do at the beginning, which is to allow the Flaxman people to withdraw and give Mr. Doe until -- 14 days to -- to file a complaint with his true identity.

THE COURT: I'll think about it.

MR. FLAXMAN: I think it would be -- I don't know, just speaking academically because I don't have -- I hope I don't have any stake in the outcome of this. I think it would be abuse of discretion to dismiss the case right now today while we filed, in good faith, a motion to stay proceedings while the appeal is pending and not giving the plaintiff actual notice of what's going on when his attorney appears and says I can't represent this guy anymore. I think you have to give him time to decide what he wants to do. And I suspect that he'll file with his other attorney a complaint

with his name. And this whole thing will be moot and it will just be another bad experience in my legal career.

MR. HABIB: Actually, your Honor, I kind of look at it this way: We have the complaint, which your Honor struck at this point. We also have showing, as represented by counsel, that, in effect, the reasons that he was proceeding with John Doe at this point are nonsense because he used his name, apparently, in a real lawsuit at this point.

So the situation this Court has before it is this: We have a complaint that -- there is no complaint on file at the moment. But, more important, we have the very fact that, in effect, this John Doe at this point, in his claim at this point that he does not want to reveal his identity has, in effect, misled the Court, maybe Mr. Flaxman, whatever, at this point because he has now, apparently, according to Mr. Flaxman's motion, setting forth that he used his name in another lawsuit against, apparently, another type of civil rights suit.

So I think the Court right now both has the jurisdiction and, more important, good reason to simply dismiss this case. You've got a basic misrepresentation by the plaintiff when he went -- when he went forward with this lawsuit that it was crucial to protect his John Doe character. And, in effect, he is claiming now that's not the case.

1	THE COURT: Well, at this point I think I better		
2	reread the complaint, to start with. But I'm not going to do		
3	it while I'm in court, so. Okay. I'll do something with it		
4	later today, according to what I think is procedurally proper		
5	and with what you say in mind, looking at the complaint.		
6	I mean, the basic complaint I don't think had to do		
7	with the John Doe issue, it had to do with what he says was		
8	his, I think, violation. But, honestly, I haven't read the		
9	complaint in two months so I don't want to make any statement		
10	about what's in that complaint without going back and		
11	spending ten minutes looking at it, five minutes, whatever it		
12	takes. Okay. I'll do something after court. Thank you.		
13	MR. HABIB: All right. Thank you, your Honor.		
14	MS. BEDNAREK: Thank you, Judge.		
15	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)		
16	CERTIFICATE		
17	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript		
18	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.		
19	/s/Sandra M. Tennis June 24, 2015		
20	Sandra M. Tennis Date		
21	Official Court Reporter		
22			
23			
24			